| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | an/ | 10/017,520 | BLEIER, THOMAS E. |
| | ai y | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | Son M Tang | 2632 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | | |
| (1) Son M Tang. | | (3) | |
| (2) Robin Chiang (Attorney). | | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 18 November 2004 | | Time: <u>1:00 pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 7, 25 and 27 Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested to amend the claims for conditioning of allowance. | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) | Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative | Signature – if appropriate) |
| , | -pu.i. | TENDENT O L'OPICCONTALIVE | oignature – ii appropriate) |